

Pricing multi-asset financial derivatives with time-dependent parameters — Lie algebraic approach

C.F. Lo

Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong
Email: cflo@phy.cuhk.edu.hk

C.H. Hui

Banking Policy Department, Hong Kong Monetary Authority,
30th Floor, 3 Garden Road, Hong Kong
Email: Cho-Hoi_Hui@hkma.gov.hk

Abstract

In this paper we present a Lie-algebraic technique for the valuation of multi-asset financial derivatives with time-dependent parameters. By exploiting the dynamical symmetry of the pricing partial differential equations of the financial derivatives, the new method enables us to derive analytical closed-form pricing formulae very straightforwardly. We believe that this new approach will provide an efficient and easy-to-use method for the valuation of financial derivatives.

1. Introduction

The Lie-algebraic method is introduced by Lo and Hui (2001) to the field of finance for the pricing of single-asset financial derivatives with time-dependent model parameters. This new method is based upon the Wei-Norman theorem (Wei and Norman, 1963) and has never been used in the field of finance. It is very simple and has been successfully applied to tackle time-dependent Schrödinger equation associated with generalized quantum time-dependent oscillators (Lo, 1993a and 1993b; Ng and Lo, 1997; and Lo and Wong, 1995) as well as the Fokker-Planck equation (Lo, 1997, 1998a, 1998b and 1998c). By exploiting the well-defined algebraic structures of the pricing partial differential equations, analytical closed-form pricing formulae can be derived for financial derivatives with time-dependent parameters. For demonstration, we have applied the Lie-algebraic approach to value European options for the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) process and corporate discount bonds with default risk. In this paper we shall extend the Lie-algebraic approach to the valuation of financial derivatives involving multi-assets and stochastic interest rate, e.g. multi-asset options with and without stochastic short-term interest rate. In the valuation of these financial derivatives the value of each of the underlying assets is assumed to follow the usual lognormal diffusion process:

$$\frac{dS_i}{S_i} = \mu_i(t)dt + \sigma_i(t)dZ_i \quad , \quad 1 \leq i \leq N \quad (1)$$

where $\mu_i(t)$ and $\sigma_i(t)$ are the drift and volatility of the value of asset i , respectively. The dynamics of the short-term interest rate r is drawn from the term structure model (Vasicek, 1977):

$$dr = \kappa(t) [\theta(t) - r] dt + \sigma_r(t)dZ_r \quad , \quad (2)$$

where the short-term interest rate is mean-reverting to long-term mean $\theta(t)$ at speed $\kappa(t)$ and $\sigma_r(t)$ is the volatility of r . The Wiener processes dZ_r and dZ_i are correlated with

$$dZ_i dZ_r = \rho_{ir}(t)dt \quad , \quad dZ_i dZ_j = \rho_{ij}(t)dt \quad , \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_{ir}(t)$ and $\rho_{ij}(t)$ are the correlation coefficients, and we must necessarily have $\rho_{rr}(t) = \rho_{ii}(t) = 1$, $-1 < \rho_{ir}(t) = \rho_{ri}(t) < 1$ and $-1 < \rho_{ij}(t) = \rho_{ji}(t) < 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. It has been pointed out that such a pricing problem is rather formidable and defies the conventional approach for the single-asset Black-Scholes model with time-dependent parameters (Bos and Ware, 2000). Nevertheless, within the framework of the Lie-algebraic approach, the generalization is very simple and straightforward.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines the Wei-Norman theorem and its applications. Section 3 applies the Lie-algebraic technique to the valuation problem of multi-asset options, in which the short-term interest rate is not treated as a stochastic variable. Section 4 studies the pricing of multi-asset options with stochastic short-term interest rate using the new valuation approach. Finally, section 5 briefly summaries and concludes the paper.

2. Wei-Norman Theorem

Consider the linear operator differential equation of the first order

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = H(t)U(t) \quad ; \quad U(0) = 1 \quad (4)$$

where H and U are both time-dependent linear operators in a Banach space or a finite-dimensional space. According to the Wei-Norman theorem (Wei and Norman, 1963), if the operator H can be expressed as

$$H(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n(t)L_n \quad , \quad (5)$$

where a_n 's are scalar functions of time and L_n are the generators of an N -dimensional solvable Lie algebra or the real split 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra, then the operator U can be expressed as

$$U(t) = \prod_{n=1}^N \exp [g_n(t)L_n] \quad . \quad (6)$$

Here the g_n 's are time-dependent scalar functions to be determined. To find the g_n 's, we simply substitute Eq.(5) and Eq.(6) into Eq.(4), and compare the two sides term

by term to obtain a set of coupled nonlinear differential equations

$$\frac{dg_n(t)}{dt} = \sum_{m=1}^N \eta_{nm} a_m(t) \quad , \quad g_n(0) = 0 \quad (7)$$

where η_{nm} are nonlinear functions of g_n 's. Thus, we have reduced the linear operator differential equation, Eq.(4), to a set of coupled nonlinear differential equations of scalar functions, Eq.(7).

For illustration, we consider the special case that the generators L_n 's form the Heisenberg-Weyl Lie algebra defined by the commutation relations:

$$[L_1, L_2] = L_3 \quad , \quad [L_1, L_3] = [L_2, L_3] = 0 \quad . \quad (8)$$

Then H is given by

$$H(t) = a_1(t)L_1 + a_2(t)L_2 + a_3(t)L_3 \quad . \quad (9)$$

According to the Wei-Norman theorem, $U(t)$ can be expressed as

$$U(t) = \exp [g_1(t)L_1] \cdot \exp [g_2(t)L_2] \cdot \exp [g_3(t)L_3] \quad . \quad (10)$$

By differentiation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU(t)}{dt} U(t)^{-1} &= \frac{dg_1(t)}{dt} L_1 + \frac{dg_2(t)}{dt} \exp [g_1(t)L_1] L_2 \exp [-g_1(t)L_1] + \\ &\quad \frac{dg_3(t)}{dt} \exp [g_1(t)L_1] \exp [g_2(t)L_2] L_3 \exp [-g_2(t)L_2] \exp [-g_1(t)L_1] \\ &= \frac{dg_1(t)}{dt} L_1 + \frac{dg_2(t)}{dt} L_2 + \left[\frac{dg_3(t)}{dt} + g_1(t) \frac{dg_2(t)}{dt} \right] L_3 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Comparing Eq.(9) and Eq.(11) yields a set of three coupled nonlinear differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg_1(t)}{dt} &= a_1(t) \\ \frac{dg_2(t)}{dt} &= a_2(t) \\ \frac{dg_3(t)}{dt} + g_1(t) \frac{dg_2(t)}{dt} &= a_3(t) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

It is not difficult to show that the set of differential equations can be easily solved by quadrature:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau a_1(\tau) \\
g_2(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau a_2(\tau) \\
g_3(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau [a_3(\tau) - a_2(\tau)g_1(\tau)] \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

As a result, the operator $U(t)$ is thus determined.

3. Multi-asset European options

The fair price $P(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n, t)$ of a multi-asset European option with time-dependent parameters can be determined by solving the multi-asset generalization of the Black-Scholes equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i(t)\sigma_j(t)\rho_{ij}(t)S_iS_j \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S_i \partial S_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n [r(t) - d_i(t)] S_i \frac{\partial P}{\partial S_i} - r(t)P \quad , \tag{14}$$

where t is the time to maturity. Introducing the new variables $x_i = \ln(S_i)$, the pricing equation is simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i(t)\sigma_j(t)\rho_{ij}(t) \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[r(t) - d_i(t) - \frac{\sigma_i(t)^2}{2} \right] \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} - r(t)P \\
&\equiv [H(t) - r(t)] P \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is obvious that the operator $H(t)$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$H(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(t) \hat{L}_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(t) \hat{D}_i \quad , \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L}_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad , \quad \hat{D}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \\
A_{ij}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i(t)\sigma_j(t)\rho_{ij}(t) \quad , \quad B_i(t) = r(t) - d_i(t) - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The operators L_{ij} and D_i form a solvable algebra; in fact, they all commute. We may now define the evolution operator $U(t, 0)$ such that

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \exp \left[- \int_0^t r(t') dt' \right] \cdot U(t, 0) P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0) \quad . \quad (18)$$

Inserting Eq.(18) into Eq.(15) yields the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, 0) = H(t) U(t, 0) \quad , \quad U(0, 0) = 1 \quad . \quad (19)$$

Since the operators L_{ij} and D_i all commute with each other, the Wei-Norman theorem states that the evolution operator $U(t, 0)$ can be expressed in the form (Wei and Norman, 1963)

$$U(t, 0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp [b_i(t) \hat{D}_i] \cdot \prod_{i,j=1}^n \exp [a_{ij}(t) \hat{L}_{ij}] \quad , \quad (20)$$

where the coefficients $a_{ij}(t)$ and $b_i(t)$ are simply given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma_i(t') \sigma_j(t') \rho_{ij}(t') dt' \\ b_i(t) &= \int_0^t \left[r(t') - d_i(t') - \frac{\sigma_i(t')^2}{2} \right] dt' \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Hence, we have found an exact form of the time evolution operator $U(t, 0)$.

We define $\mathbf{a}(t)$ as the $n \times n$ matrix whose elements are given by $a_{ij}(t)$, and $\mathbf{a}^{-1}(t)$ as its inverse. Then it is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_n P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0) \\ &\quad \times K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4\pi)^n \det(\mathbf{a})}} \exp \left[- \int_0^t r(t') dt' \right] \times \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (x_i - y_i + b_i) (\mathbf{a}^{-1})_{ij} (x_j - y_j + b_j) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

is the propagator of the pricing equation in Eq.(15). With $n = 1$, we shall recover the well-known result of single-asset option pricing.

4. Multi-asset European options with stochastic interest rate

In the presence of stochastic short-term interest rate, the price $P(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n, r, t)$ of a multi-asset European option obeys the partial differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i(t) \sigma_j(t) \rho_{ij}(t) S_i S_j \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S_i \partial S_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r(t)^2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(t) \sigma_r(t) \rho_{ir}(t) S_i \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial S_i \partial r} + \sum_{i=1}^n [r - d_i(t)] S_i \frac{\partial P}{\partial S_i} + \\
&\quad \kappa(t) [\theta(t) - r] \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - rP \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i(t) \sigma_j(t) \rho_{ij}(t) \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r(t)^2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(t) \sigma_r(t) \rho_{ir}(t) \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i \partial r} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[r - d_i(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i(t)^2 \right] \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \\
&\quad \kappa(t) [\theta(t) - r] \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - rP \quad , \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_i = \ln(S_i)$ and t is the time to maturity. To solve this partial differential equation, we first define the evolution operator $U(t, 0) \equiv U_0(t, 0)U_I(t, 0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, r, t) &= U(t, 0)P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, r, 0) \\
&= U_0(t, 0)U_I(t, 0)P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, r, 0) \quad . \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting Eq.(25) into Eq.(24) yields the evolution equations

$$H_0(t)U_0(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}U_0(t, 0) \quad , \quad U_0(0, 0) = 1 \tag{26}$$

$$H_I(t)U_I(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}U_I(t, 0) \quad , \quad U_I(0, 0) = 1 \tag{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i(t) \sigma_j(t) \rho_{ij}(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r(t)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(t) \sigma_r(t) \rho_{ir}(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial r} + \sum_{i=1}^n r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \kappa(t)r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

and $H_I \equiv U_0(t, 0)^{-1} [H(t) - H_0(t)] U_0(t, 0)$. It is not difficult to show that the operator $H_0(t)$ can be rewritten in the following form:

$$H_0(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(t) \hat{L}_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(t) \hat{D}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(t) \hat{M}_i + B_1 \hat{J}_1 + B_2 \hat{J}_2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L}_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad , \quad \hat{D}_i = r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad , \quad \hat{M}_i = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial r} \quad , \\
\hat{J}_2 &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \quad , \quad \hat{J}_1 = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \quad , \\
A_{ij}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i(t) \sigma_j(t) \rho_{ij}(t) \quad , \quad B_1(t) = -\kappa(t) \quad , \quad B_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r(t)^2 \quad , \\
E_i(t) &= 1 \quad , \quad F_i(t) = \sigma_i(t) \sigma_r(t) \rho_{ir}(t) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The operators \hat{L}_{ij} , \hat{D}_i , \hat{M}_i and \hat{J}_i form a solvable Lie algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{L}_{kl}] &= [\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{D}_k] = [\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{M}_k] = [\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{J}_1] = [\hat{L}_{ij}, \hat{J}_2] = [\hat{M}_i, \hat{J}_2] = 0 \\
[\hat{D}_i, \hat{M}_j] &= -\hat{L}_{ij} \quad , \quad [\hat{D}_i, \hat{J}_1] = -\hat{D}_i \quad , \quad [\hat{D}_i, \hat{J}_2] = -2\hat{M}_i \quad , \\
[\hat{M}_i, \hat{J}_1] &= \hat{M}_i \quad , \quad [\hat{J}_1, \hat{J}_2] = -2\hat{J}_2
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. According to the Wei-Norman theorem (Wei and Norman, 1963), the evolution operator $U_0(t, 0)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
U_0(t, 0) &= \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) \hat{D}_i \right] \exp \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) \hat{L}_{ij} \right] \exp [c_2(t) \hat{J}_2] \times \\
&\quad \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) \hat{M}_i \right] \exp [c_1(t) \hat{J}_1]
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where the coefficients $a_{ij}(t)$, $c_i(t)$, $b_i(t)$ and $f_i(t)$ are to be determined. Then by direct differentiation with respect to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U_0(t, 0)}{\partial t} U_0(t, 0)^{-1} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(t) \hat{L}_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(t) \hat{D}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) \hat{M}_i \\
&\quad + q_1(t) \hat{J}_1 + q_2(t) \hat{J}_2
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{ij}(t) &= \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial t} - b_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + b_i b_j \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t} + b_j (2c_2 b_i - f_i) \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad , \\
h_i(t) &= \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial t} - b_i \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad , \\
p_i(t) &= \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} - 2b_i \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t} - (4c_2 b_i - f_i) \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad , \\
q_1(t) &= \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad , \\
q_2(t) &= \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t} + 2c_2 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_1(t) &= \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad , \\
q_2(t) &= \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t} + 2c_2 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Substituting Eq.(29), Eq.(32) and Eq.(33) into Eq.(26), and comparing the two sides, we find after simplification

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1(t) &= \int_0^t dt' B_1(t') \quad , \\
c_2(t) &= \exp[-2c_1(t)] \int_0^t dt' B_2(t') \exp[2c_1(t')] \quad , \\
b_i(t) &= \exp[c_1(t)] \int_0^t dt' E_i(t') \exp[-c_1(t')] \quad , \\
f_i(t) &= \exp[-c_1(t)] \int_0^t dt' \{F_i(t') + 2B_2(t')b_i(t')\} \exp[c_1(t')] \quad , \\
a_{ij}(t) &= \int_0^t dt' \{A_{ij}(t') + [F_i(t') + B_2(t')b_i(t')] b_j(t')\} \quad . \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

Once the coefficients $a_{ij}(t)$, $c_i(t)$, $b_i(t)$ and $f_i(t)$ are known, the operator $U_0(t, 0)$ is uniquely determined.

Next, using the above explicit form of the operator $U_0(t, 0)$, we can obtain the exact form of the operator $H_I(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_I(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ f_i(t) + \kappa(t)\theta(t)b_i(t) - \left[d_i(t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i(t)^2 \right] \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \\
&\quad \{ \kappa(t)\theta(t) + 2c_2(t) \} \exp[c_1(t)] \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - r \exp[-c_1(t)] \quad . \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the operator $U_I(t, 0)$ can be expressed in the form

$$U_I(t, 0) = \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right] \mathcal{U}(t, 0) \tag{37}$$

where

$$\xi_i(t) = \int_0^t dt' \left\{ f_i(t') + \kappa(t')\theta(t')b_i(t') - \left[d_i(t') + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i(t')^2 \right] \right\} \tag{38}$$

and $\mathcal{U}(t, 0)$ satisfies the evolution equation

$$\mathcal{H}(t)\mathcal{U}(t, 0) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i(t)\hat{e}_i\mathcal{U}(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{U}(t, 0) \quad , \quad \mathcal{U}(0, 0) = 1 \tag{39}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1(t) &= [\kappa(t)\theta(t) + 2c_2(t)] \exp[c_1(t)] \quad , \quad \eta_2(t) = -\exp[-c_1(t)] \quad , \quad \eta_3(t) = 0 \quad , \\
\hat{e}_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \quad , \quad \hat{e}_2 = r \quad , \quad \hat{e}_3 = 1 \quad . \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

The operators \hat{e}_i form the Heisenberg-Weyl Lie algebra

$$[\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2] = \hat{e}_3 \quad , \quad [\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_3] = [\hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3] = 0 \quad . \quad (41)$$

Following a similar procedure as shown above, the operator $\mathcal{U}(t, 0)$ is found to be

$$\mathcal{U}(t, 0) = \exp [\mu_2(t)\hat{e}_2] \exp [\mu_1(t)\hat{e}_1] \exp [\mu_3(t)\hat{e}_3] \quad (42)$$

with

$$\mu_1(t) = \int_0^t dt' \eta_1(t') \quad , \quad \mu_2(t) = \int_0^t dt' \eta_2(t') \quad , \quad \mu_3(t) = \int_0^t dt' \mu_2(t') \eta_1(t') \quad . \quad (43)$$

As a result, we have obtained the exact form of the desired time evolution operator $U(t, 0)$ of the pricing equation in Eq.(24). It is then straightforward to show that $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, r, t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, r, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_n P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, r, 0) \\ &\quad \times K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0; r) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0; r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4\pi)^n \det(\mathbf{a})}} \exp \left\{ \mu_3(t) + c_2(t)\mu_2(t)^2 \exp [2c_1(t)] + \mu_2(t) \exp [c_1(t)] r \right\} \times \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (x_i - y_i + v_i)(\mathbf{a}^{-1})_{ij}(x_j - y_j + v_j) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

is the propagator of the pricing equation in Eq.(24), and

$$v_i(t) = b_i(t)r + \xi_i(t) + \mu_2(t)f_i(t) \exp [c_1(t)] \quad . \quad (46)$$

The matrix $\mathbf{a}(t)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose elements are given by $a_{ij}(t)$, and $\mathbf{a}^{-1}(t)$ is its inverse. Furthermore, in terms of the riskless bond function $Q(r, t)$ of the Vasicek model with explicitly time-dependent parameters, we can easily rewrite the propagator $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0; r)$ and $v_i(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, 0; r) \\ &= \frac{Q(r, t)}{\sqrt{(4\pi)^n \det(\mathbf{a})}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (x_i - y_i + v_i)(\mathbf{a}^{-1})_{ij}(x_j - y_j + v_j) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

and $\nu_i(t) = -\ln [Q(r, t)] - a_{ii}(t) - \int_0^t dt' d_i(t')$.

For illustration, we consider the evaluation of a European call option on the maximum of two assets S_1 and S_2 , with a strike price of K . The payoff at expiry for such an option is: $\max(\max(S_1, S_2) - K, 0)$. Then the option price $P(S_1, S_2, r, t)$ is given by

$$P(S_1, S_2, r, t) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - K Q(r, t) \quad (48)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= S_1 N_2(\theta_1, \phi_1, \rho_1) \frac{\exp\left(-\int_0^t dt' d_1(t')\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \chi_1^2}} \\ I_2 &= S_2 N_2(\theta_2, \phi_2, \rho_2) \frac{\exp\left(-\int_0^t dt' d_2(t')\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \chi_2^2}} \\ I_3 &= K Q(r, t) N_2(\theta_3, \phi_3, \rho_3) \\ \chi_1 &= \frac{a_{11} - a_{12}}{\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{a})}} \quad , \quad \chi_2 = \frac{a_{22} - a_{12}}{\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{a})}} \\ \rho_1 &= \frac{\chi_1}{\sqrt{1 + \chi_1^2}} \quad , \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\chi_2}{\sqrt{1 + \chi_2^2}} \quad , \quad \rho_3 = \frac{a_{12}}{\sqrt{a_{11}a_{22}}} \\ \theta_1 &= -\sqrt{\frac{(1 - \rho_1^2)(a_{11} - 2a_{12} + a_{22})}{2 \cdot \det(\mathbf{a})}} \cdot \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{KQ}{S_1}\right) - a_{11} + \int_0^t dt' d_1(t') \right\} \\ \phi_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \rho_1^2)a_{11}}{2 \cdot \det(\mathbf{a})}} \cdot \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) + a_{11} - 2a_{12} + a_{22} - \int_0^t dt' d_1(t') + \int_0^t dt' d_2(t') \right\} \\ \theta_2 &= -\sqrt{\frac{(1 - \rho_2^2)(a_{11} - 2a_{12} + a_{22})}{2 \cdot \det(\mathbf{a})}} \cdot \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{KQ}{S_2}\right) - a_{22} + \int_0^t dt' d_2(t') \right\} \\ \phi_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \rho_2^2)a_{22}}{2 \cdot \det(\mathbf{a})}} \cdot \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{S_2}{S_1}\right) + a_{11} - 2a_{12} + a_{22} - \int_0^t dt' d_2(t') + \int_0^t dt' d_1(t') \right\} \\ \theta_3 &= \frac{\ln(KQ/S_1) + a_{11} + \int_0^t dt' d_1(t')}{\sqrt{2a_{11}}} \\ \phi_3 &= \frac{\ln(KQ/S_2) + a_{22} + \int_0^t dt' d_2(t')}{\sqrt{2a_{22}}} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Here $N_2(\theta, \phi, \rho)$ stands for the bivariate cumulative normal density function. It should be noted that by setting $\sigma_r(t) = \rho_{1r}(t) = \rho_{2r}(t) = \kappa(t) = 0$ in the above price function, we shall obtain the option price $P(S_1, S_2, t)$ for the special case with non-stochastic

short-term interest rate. Furthermore, as far as we know, the results in Eq.(48) and Eq.(49) are completely new.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we present a Lie-algebraic technique for the valuation of multi-asset financial derivatives with time-dependent parameters. By exploiting the dynamical symmetry of the pricing partial differential equations of the financial derivatives, the new method enables us to derive analytical closed-form pricing formulae very straightforwardly. We believe that this new approach will provide an efficient and easy-to-use method for the valuation of financial derivatives. Furthermore, this simple Lie-algebraic approach can be easily extended to other financial derivatives with well-defined algebraic structure.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the Direct Grant for Research from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Government. The conclusions herein do not represent the views of the Hong Kong Monetary Authority.

References

1. Bos, L.P. and Ware, A.F., “Solving multi-asset Black-Scholes with time-dependent volatility” (Working paper, Mathematical and Computational Finance Laboratory, University of Calgary, Canada).
2. Lo, C.F. and Hui, C.H., “Valuation of financial derivatives with time-dependent parameters — Lie algebraic approach”, *Quantitative Finance* **1**:73-78 (2001).
3. Lo, C.F., “Propagator of the General Driven Time-dependent Oscillator”, *Physical Review A* **47**:115-118 (1993a).

4. Lo, C.F., “Coherent-state Propagator of the Generalized Time-dependent Parametric Oscillator”, *Europhysics Letters* **24**:319-323 (1993b).
5. Lo, C.F. and Wong, Y.J., “Propagator of Two Coupled General Driven Time-dependent Oscillators”, *Europhysics Letters* **32**:193-198 (1995).
6. Lo, C.F., “Propagator of the Fokker-Planck Equation with a Linear Force — Lie-algebraic Approach”, *Europhysics Letters* **39**:263-267 (1997).
7. Lo, C.F., “Propagator of the N-dimensional Generalization of the Fokker-Planck Equation with a Linear Force — Lie-algebraic Approach”, *Physics Letters A* **246**:66-70 (1998a).
8. Lo, C.F., “Lie-algebraic Approach for the Fokker-Planck Equation with a Non-linear Drift Force”, *Physica A* **262**:153-157 (1998b).
9. Lo, C.F., “Lie-algebraic Approach for the Generalized Fokker-Planck Equation with a Linear Force”, *Il Nuovo Cimento B* **113**:1533-1536 (1998c).
10. Ng, K.M. and Lo, C.F. (1997): “Coherent-state Propagator of Two Coupled Generalized Time-dependent Parametric Oscillators”, *Physics Letters A* **230**:144-152 (1997).
11. Vasicek, O.A., “An equilibrium characterization of the term structure”, *Journal of Financial Economics* **5**:177-188 (1977).
12. Wei, J. and Norman, E., “Lie algebraic solution of linear differential equations”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **4**:575-581 (1963).